Quantum dot lasers based on a stacked and strain-compensated active region grown by metal-organic chemical vapor deposition
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We demonstrate an InAs/GaAs quantum dot (QD) laser based on a strain-compensated, three-stack active region. Each layer of the stacked QD active region contains a thin GaP (Δa0=-3.8%) tensile layer embedded in a GaAs matrix to partially compensate the compressive strain of the InAs (Δa0=7%) QD layer. The optimized GaP thickness is ~4 MLs and results in a 36% reduction of compressive strain in our device structure. Atomic force microscope images, room-temperature photoluminescence, and x-ray diffraction confirm that strain compensation improves both structural and optical device properties. Room-temperature ground state lasing at λ=1.249 μm, Jth =550 A/cm² has been demonstrated. © 2005 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.1926413]

GaAs-based quantum dot (QD) lasers operating between 1.25 and 1.65 μm continue to intrigue researchers with the potential benefits of zero dimensionality. While the QD active region has low gain at the ground-state transition, stacking the QD layers has been shown to increase ground-state modal gain resulting in ground-state lasing and larger region grown by metal-organic chemical vapor deposition. The growth of QDs and stacked QDs for long wavelength emission using metal-organic chemical vapor deposition (MOCVD) has been difficult due to the high density of relaxed defect clusters that form with increased strain and complicated surface environment. Only recently has ground-state lasing at λ > 1.24 μm from MOCVD-grown stacked QDs been demonstrated. Two approaches have been successful to date. Kim et al. has embedded the QDs in an InGaP barrier, which results in high T0=210 K and Jth =200 A/cm² at λ=1.28 μm. Kaiander et al. and Tatebayashi et al. have employed annealing steps that remove defect clusters and result in a low transparency current density of 7.2 A/cm² per QD layer at λ=1.245 μm.

In our work, we combine two methods to reduce defect propagation in the stacked active region. An AsH₃ pause reduces the defect density at each layer and a GaP tensile layer is inserted within the stacked structure to partially compensate the compressive strain of the QDs. We have previously reported improved crystallinity using InGaP strain-compensation (SC) layers, however, the InGaP layers can lead to In segregation and hexagonal material at the InGaP/GaAs interface. The use of InGaP and InGaAs SC layers has been demonstrated in multiple strained quantum wells lasers. Recently, (In)GaAs and GaNAs have been reported in stacked QD structures to improve both crystalline quality and optical properties. However, lasing from SC QD structures has not yet been demonstrated.

In this article, we describe the GaP SC layer optimization a set of samples with a varied number of stacks is evaluated both with and without the GaP SC layers to analyze the progression of strain. These samples are characterized using atomic force microscope (AFM), x-ray diffraction (XRD), and photoluminescence (PL). Finally, the three-stack laser structure and its characteristics are described.

The sample growth is described in detail elsewhere. All active regions consist of a 5 ML In₀.₁₅Ga₀.₈₅As buffer, 3 ML InAs QDs, and a 25 ML In₀.₉₅Ga₀.₀₅As cap. A post nucleation AsH₃ pause is used after the growth of each QD layer to reduce the defect density. The stacked QD layers are separated by an 8 nm GaAs barrier sandwiching a thin (2–8 ML) GaP layer resulting in a lattice mismatch to the GaAs matrix of 3.8%. The laser structures are grown on n-type substrates followed by 300 nm GaAs n⁺ doped, a 0.8 μm n-Al₀.₇Ga₀.₃As (n=1×10¹⁷ cm⁻³) lower clad, 110 nm GaAs waveguide embedding the active region, and a 0.8 μm p-Al₀.₇Ga₀.₃As (p=1×10¹⁷ cm⁻³) upper clad. The structures are terminated with a 50 nm GaAs n⁺ layer.

Figures 1 shows room-temperature photoluminescence (RTPL) from a series of five-stack structures with varied GaP layer thickness including 2, 4, 6, and 8 MLs. Several trends can be noted. Overall, the PL intensity is more than one order of magnitude higher with GaP SC layers than without. As the thickness of a GaP layer increases, we observe a blueshift from 1.33 to 1.25 μm due to a 9% reduction in QD size.
driven by the increased tensile strain. The PL intensity increases with a GaP layer thickness from 2 to 4 MLs then decreases for thicknesses of 6 and 8 MLs. The decrease in PL intensity associated with the thicker GaP layers can be attributed to partial relaxation of the SC layer and dislocations in the structure. The critical thickness of the embedded GaP layer is expected to be much lower than the value reported at 12 MLs\textsuperscript{19} for GaP on GaAs due to the existing strain from QD region. The total average strain values for each sample were obtained from XRD spectra (not shown) by

$$\left\langle \varepsilon \right\rangle = \frac{\sin \theta_B}{\sin(\theta_B + \Delta \theta)} - 1,$$

where \(\theta_B\) is the Bragg angle of the GaAs substrate, \(\Delta \theta\) is the separation between zero-order peak and GaAs peak. This calculation indicates total strain changes from 0.014 897 without SC to 0.009 550 with 4 MLs GaP (36% reduction). Details of XRD characterization is described in our previous work.\textsuperscript{11} A simple summation of strain using Eqs. (2) and (3) confirms the 36% strain reduction with the presence of GaP layers:

$$\left\langle \varepsilon \right\rangle = \frac{\sum (\varepsilon_i) \cdot t_i}{\sum t_i},$$

$$\varepsilon_i = \frac{a_i - a_{\text{GaAs}}}{a_{\text{GaAs}}},$$

where \(\varepsilon_i\) and \(t\) are strain and thickness of each \(i\)th layer, respectively, and \(a_i\) is the lattice parameter of \(i\)th layer.

Figures 2(a)–2(d) shows AFM images (2 \(\mu m \times 2 \mu m\)) of the surface QD layer atop a (a), (b) three-stack and (c), (d) five-stack active region both with and without 4 ML GaP layers. There are several competing effects that control the QD formation when strain, strain compensation, and defect formation are present. Complete analysis of the strain fields and their effects on nucleation are underway. In this text, we comment on the general trends elucidated in Table I. With increased stacking, the QD density reduces and defect density, QD height, and QD diameter increase. The deleterious effects of stacking are reduced by the introduction of SC layers.

In more detail, the QD density remains constant at \(\sim 5 \times 10^{10} \text{QDs/cm}^2\) as the stacking is increased if SC is incorporated. Without SC, overlapping vertically propagating strain fields likely control the QD nucleation and reduce QD density. As QD density reduces for samples without SC, the defect density increases. The five-stack sample, (c) without SC, has a high defect density of 2.1 \(\times 10^9 \text{ /cm}^2\) and surface undulations. With SC, the defect density remains in the \(10^8 \text{ /cm}^2\) regime. Increased stacking also causes larger QDs in both width and height, compared to a single QD layer. The diameter increases \(\sim 30\%\) for the samples without SC due to a reduced wetting layer thickness (more material in the QDs) and only \(\sim 10\%\) with SC. The height increases \(\sim 35\%\) both with and without SC.

Room-temperature PL is shown in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b) from four samples (one stack to four stack) with SC and without SC, respectively. Two different peaks are evident in each spectrum. The peak at 1.6 \(\mu m\) is emitted by surface QDs and the shorter wavelength at 1.3 \(\mu m\) is emitted from the capped QD region. The electron-hole pairs are mainly generated in the GaAs buffer layer since the GaAs barriers between the QD active are very thin. In both types of samples (with and without SC) the relative intensity of the uncapped QDs to the capped QDs reduces with additional stacks as more of the carriers are captured by capped QDs. In Fig. 3(a), the PL intensity increases linearly with each additional QD stack indicating that the number of nonradiative recombination sites does not increase dramatically with stacking. The redshift in the PL peak position with an increased number of stack layers is attributed to an increase in QD size as observed in AFM. In Fig. 3(b), the intensity increases from a one stack to a three stack, then begins to reduce as the fourth stack is added. The intensity from the single QD stack is fairly low because carriers readily thermalize to the lower energy level of the surface QDs where they recombine radiatively at the 1.6 \(\mu m\) wavelength.\textsuperscript{17}

Finally, we demonstrate lasers that utilize a three-stack InAs QD active with 4 ML GaP embedded in a GaAs matrix. Figure 4 shows the RT lasing characteristics under pulsed conditions (10\% duty cycle). The light versus current curve

| TABLE I. Tabulated AFM data from samples in Fig. 2 including dot density, defect density, and dot size for a single layer, three-stack, and five-stack active region with and without GaP SC layers. |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                 | Dot density (cm\(^{-2}\)) | Defect density (cm\(^{-2}\)) | Dot diameter (nm) | Dot height (nm) |
| Single layer    | ---              | 5.4 \(\times 10^{10}\)    | 4.0 \(\times 10^8\) | 32              | 5.5              |
| Three-stack layer | Without GaP | 4.8 \(\times 10^{10}\)    | 9.0 \(\times 10^8\) | 36              | 5.9              |
|                 | With GaP        | 5.1 \(\times 10^{10}\)    | 6.0 \(\times 10^8\) | 31              | 6.0              |
| Five-stack layer | Without GaP | 2.8 \(\times 10^{10}\)    | 2.1 \(\times 10^9\) | 42              | 7.3              |
|                 | With GaP        | 4.9 \(\times 10^{10}\)    | 6.5 \(\times 10^8\) | 35              | 7.5              |
laser dimensions are 50 μm. The broad area laser dimensions are 50 μm × 2 mm. High reflectivity coatings (three pairs Si/Al₂O₃) are applied by a sputtering technique to both facets. The devices do not lase on the ground state with uncoated facets due to insufficient gain. This allows us to place upper and lower boundaries on the three-stack modal gain. We estimate three-stack modal gain to be about 8 cm⁻¹, based on internal loss of about 5 cm⁻¹ for MOCVD grown QD laser. We observe a 45 nm blueshift of the lasing peak compared to the RTPL spectra [Fig. 3(a)] which indicates saturation of the larger QDs at high injection current such that lasing transitions originate from smaller QDs. The blueshift in lasing spectra should be suppressed with an increased number of QD stacks.

In conclusion, the GaP SC layer can be used as an important parameter especially for multiple QD-stack systems for extended wavelength lasing where the strain is a very critical issue. The manuscript describes and analyzes the effect of GaP tensile layers embedded in a GaAs matrix to compensate compressive strain in stacked InAs quantum dot (QD) active regions. The optimized GaP thickness is ~4 MLs and results in a 36% reduction of compressive strain in our device structure. Atomic force microscope images, room-temperature photoluminescence, and x-ray diffraction confirm that strain compensation improves both structural and optical device properties. Room-temperature ground-state lasing at λ=1.249 μm, J₀=550 A/cm² has been demonstrated.